



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/905,341 | 07/14/2001 | Trevor Yann | 655/62437 3754 | | |
| 75 | 90 07/15/2005 | | EXAMINER NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T | | |
| Richard F. Jav | vorski | | | | |
| Cooper & Dunh | | | A D.T. I.S.UT | DA DED VILLADED | |
| 1185 Avenue of | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| New York, NY 10036 | | | 2137 | | |
| | • | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | ۳۷ | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 09/905,341 | | YANN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | Minh Dieu Nguy | | 2137 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | ppears on the cove | r sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | l. i.136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application | never, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 | April 2005. | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ·— | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from conside | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complete | ccepted or b) ob ne drawing(s) be held ection is required if the | d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obj | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ints have been recents have been recents have been recentricity documents heau (PCT Rule 17. | eived. eived in Application eave been receive 2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | _ | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the communication dated April 14; 2005.
 Claims 1-19 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Nachenberg fails to teach the claimed limitation 1, Nachenberg teaches detecting polymorphic viruses without emulating unnecessarily large numbers of instructions (i.e. eliminating of certain polymorphic viruses from consideration prior to emulation, see Remarks, pages 7-8).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., detecting polymorphic viruses without emulating unnecessarily large numbers of instructions are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Nachenberg clearly teaches emulating a first predetermined number of instructions of the computer program (col. 6, lines 45-48; col. 3, lines 37-53).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,341 Page 3

Art Unit: 2137

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nachenberg et al. (5,826,013).

As to claim 1, Nachenberg teaches a method of detecting polymorphic viral code in a computer program, comprising the steps of:

- (a) emulating a first predetermined number of instructions of the computer program (col. 6, lines 45-48);
- (b) collecting information corresponding to a state of a plurality of registers and/or flags after each emulated instruction execution (col. 9, lines 24-32; col. 12, line 64 thru col. 13, line 10); and
- (c) determining a probability that the computer program contains polymorphic viral code based on an heuristic analysis of the collected register/flag state information (col. 3, lines 37-53; col. 9, lines 24-32; col. 12, line 64 thru col. 13, line 10).

As to claim 2, Nachenberg teaches emulating a second predetermined number of instructions if the probability determined in step (c) is above a predetermined threshold,

Application/Control Number: 09/905,341

Art Unit: 2137

wherein the second predetermined number of instructions is greater than the first

predetermined number of instructions (col. 3, lines 37-53).

As to claim 3, Nachenberg teaches the second predetermined number of instructions corresponds to execution of a polymorphic decryptor (col. 8, lines 51-65).

As to claim 4, Nachenberg teaches monitoring the plurality of registers and/or flags for improper register/flag usage (col. 3, lines 37-53; col. 9, lines 24-32; col. 12, line 64 thru col. 13, line 10).

As to claim 5, Nachenberg teaches maintaining, for each of the plurality of registers and/or flags, a corresponding count of a number of times that the register/flag was improperly used during the emulation of instructions in steps (a) (col. 3, lines 44-53).

As to claim 6, Nachenberg teaches monitoring operand values of the instructions emulated in step (a) (col. 3, lines 37-53; col. 9, lines 24-32; col. 12, line 64 thru col. 13, line 10).

As to claim 7, Nachenberg teaches detecting when operand values of an instruction which is set is not used by the instruction (col. 9, lines 24-32; col. 11, lines 23-28).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/905,341

Art Unit: 2137

As to claim 8, Nachenberg teaches detecting when an undefined operand of an instruction is used by the instruction (col. 3, lines 1-36).

Claim 9 is a program storage device claim that is substantially equivalent to method claim 1, therefore claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 10 is a computer system claim that is substantially equivalent to program storage claim 9, therefore claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 11 is a computer data signal claim that is substantially equivalent to program storage claim 9, therefore claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons.

Claim 12 is an apparatus claim that is substantially equivalent to program storage claim 9, therefore claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons.

Apparatus claims 13-19 are substantially equivalent to method claims 2-8 respectively, therefore claims 13-19 are rejected for the same reasons.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3873. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Minh Dieu Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2137

mdn 7/11/05

Matthew Dobruftern MATTHEW SMITHERS PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2137